

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,838	02/02/2005	Jan Westerlund	PAH-107	4572
75	590 12/19/2005		EXAM	INER
Mark P Stone			SUCHECKI, KRYSTYNA	
25 Third Street				
4th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Stamford, CT 06905			2882	

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/522,838	WESTERLUND, JAN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Krystyna Suchecki	2882				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. ply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
3) Since this application is in condition for all	· <u> </u>					
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-12,14,15,17,18 and 20 is/ar 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4,13,16 and 19 is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction all	drawn from consideration. re rejected.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 02 February 2005 is Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ o the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rrection is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date				
 Notice of Platisperson's Fateth Clawing Review (F10-940) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>02/02/05</u>. 		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/522,838

Art Unit: 2882

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 1 does not properly introduce an "engagement element", and so proper antecedence is lacking for the term. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are generally narrative. Claim 1 in particular fails to recite elements positively as portions of an apparatus.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 6-12, 14, 15, 17, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Maldonado (US 4,554,676).

Regarding Claim 1-3, 6-12, 14, 15, 17, 18 and 20, Maldonado teaches an arrangement relating to a sensor plate (46) for dental x-raying purposes, wherein the sensor plate is of an analogue type and is intended for one-time use, characterized in

Art Unit: 2882

that the sensor plate carries elements (shown as folding over the edges of the plate from item 48) that are intended for engagement with a plate holding element in at least six different positions (as enabled by the combination of cooperation of A-D with P and the 2 orientations (right-side-up and up-side-down) of 46 possible with 48) in that the engagement elements are fixed on the sensor plate, characterized in that the engagement elements of the sensor plate are arranged at side elements (upper, lower and back sides) which are detachable from the sensor plate and characterized in that the holding element includes grooves (16) for engagement with the sensor plate engagement elements and includes a biting plate (14), a holding pin (18) and an alignment assisting element (22).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maldonado in view of Schuller (US 4,941,164).

Maldonado teaches engagement member as above for claim 1 wherein a portion of a plate folds over to engage a sensor plate.

Maldonado fails to teach engagement elements comprising wire-like elements.

Schuller teaches the engagement of a sensor plate via wire-like engagement elements (Figure 2, items 15, 16). The wire-like element allows for the close

engagement of a sensor element against another wall to hold the sensor (Column 5, lines 21-38).

Therefor, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the wire-like elements of Schuller for the fold-over elements of Maldonado for the benefit of holding a sensor element closely. The wire-like elements would hold the sensor element of Maldonado against wall (48) in a close manner.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 13, 16 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The allowability of the claims would also require correction of the objected claim above.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: claim 4 contains allowable subject matter for at least the reason that the prior art of record fails to teach or reasonably suggest an arrangement relating to a sensor plate for dental x-ray purposes wherein a sensor plate includes engagement elements arranged in the sensor plate for engagement with a plate holding element in at least three different position and the engagement elements including openings in the sensor plate as claimed. While Fabian (US 5,202,911) teaches alignment holes in a sensor element, the holes do not engage with a holder element in at least three different positions as claimed. As taught by Figure 6, the holes cooperate with a plate to keep the sensor plate in one particular orientation.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,838 Page 5

Art Unit: 2882

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Angotti (US 5,090,047) is of interest for also teaching a sensor plate with engagement elements that allow a sensor plate to be oriented at least six different ways with respect to a holder element. Donato (US 4,598,416) is of interest for teaching a case structure for an x-ray sensor plate.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krystyna Suchecki whose telephone number is (571) 272-2495. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Glick can be reached on (571) 272-2490. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ks

Crows & Church

Craig E. Church
Primary Examiner